G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.

No 114-22 (1-2) (1922 Courts)

File No. 265-2

Serial Nos. ~~1 to 4~~ 1—5

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Fine

Part B for November 1923.

SUBJECT

Revised scale of establishment for the Munsiffs Court at Kolar in making an additional expenditure of Rs 1575/- per annum

G.O. No P. 2379-80-G 5-11-23

To the Regr Blr Comptr.

Sanctioned.

Manazwaiy Iyengar.

4/12/23.

G. R. S. III—3]

9 3445 dt 2-65-22

Clerical establishment for Munsiff's Court at

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Extract from para 12 of the Jour notes of the Dewan in Kolar Munsiff and Chitaldrug in August 1922.

(1) para 7. the heavy pendency in the munsiff's Court

1. In regard to this, the munsiff considered that if a few additional hands were given, the work could be expedited. He said that with the present establishment, he could not cope with the increased work of drawing up decrees, engrossing processes etc. He suggested that the Court should be converted into a first class court and then the matters could be performed.

2) The question will be referred to the Chief Court for their consideration

3) Ruled.

One Amin and one process server have been recently transferred from Kolar to Bellary in munsiff's court to the Kolar munsiff's court on the recommendation of the Hon'ble Chief Court. Vide Jo. no P.4962-3 dt 14-2) 9.12.1922. No further action seems necessary

E.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must b cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

W.55
P (2

3445
P

G.R.S. III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

4) What was wanted is additional clerical assistance. You may put the question at the meeting tomorrow.

Lee
11.1.23

Draft for M/L

C5
11/1

5) Send with a draft

13/1/23
for 13/1

6) Done
JG
13/1

No. P. 5/0/8 15.1.23.

27 JAN 1923

SECRETARIAT

Ens A
7/1

Letter No: Roc 793/22-23 of 17.3.23 from the Regional Officer requesting for reasons stated that the Malaria Inspector should be raised to the 3rd class with additional establishment involving a recurring expenditure of Rs 1575/- per annum as per proposition Statement duly

add

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

7) Read. The proposition Statement has not been received from the Commissioner of Sanitation

G.B.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

is required by the rules. Besides, applications for revision of rents should be submitted within the end of December i.e. before the close of the first two quarters of the official year. The last objection may perhaps be overlooked as the proposals have been indirectly called for by the Govt. It is now a question of fact as to whether it is possible to find money for this & to reveal other proposals of the Chief Comm. is a point for consideration. The financial basis has to be worked up for presentation to the Secretary of State.

In view of the telegraphic note issued by the Govt Secretariat there is no prospect of the request being considered favourably. The utmost that may perhaps be done, is to temporarily sanction a hand or two, till prospects improve. However there is

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

a fall in the institutions last year, and the situation is perhaps capable of being brought under control

158
7-6-23

Gen Secy)
2nd member)

Calc
23 cy 7-4

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

GS File No. 75 of 1922

11755/P

4(4)p

Serial No.

9) The proposal for this Contingency may be included in the provision made for the increased establishment in the next year's budget. Please submit this Memorandum through the Finance Secretary.

Sd/-
2/5
3/5

FINANCE SECRETARIAT
7(1970-N)GS
4 MAY 1923

Full Secretariat with Compliments)

Cag 3/5

10) This may be included in the Budget in the final stage with reference to the position there.

Sd/-
4/5
7.5.23
Cag 3/5

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAY 8 1923
Reg. No. 6576

Dewan) (11) Yes

4

10.5

3/5 Cag. 11/5

05 1/5

Secretariat

(12) No provision seems to have been made in the Budget on this account though the file was submitted for consideration in the final stage as ordered. The amount now required is Rs 157 which may perhaps be met from the provision

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4755/12

(5

Serial No.

made for 'extra civil courts' in the current year's budget if approved. The Inspection Statement has not been scrutinized by the Comptroller. If approved, the Comptroller may be requested by U.O. to scrutinize the statement and return. Submitted for orders

Q/ AR 13/7/23

(13) This may be done. It appears to be possible to spare the amount from the grants made in this year's budget. Next year, additional grants will be necessary.

(Chief Secy) ... cag

14) I admit his Excellency proposes 13.7 must be scrutinized by the Comptr by 9/7 cag 17.7

G. Form No. 41 24.7.23

U.O.No. 87/23 P 21/7/23.

Rec'd A 23.7.23

30 JUL 1923 2571

Rec'd 23/7/23

U.O. No. 32 25.7.23

15) Forwarded unofficially with compliments to the Comptroller to Government for favour of verification and kind return.

By order
C Hedayetullah
for Chief Secy.

Q/ AR 18/7/23

16) U.O.No.138/Books dated 26th July 1923.
Returned with compliments duly verified.

The

1755

6

16

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 265 of 1922

Serial No.

7) The extra cost involved by the proposition is Rs. 131¼ per mensem or Rs. 1575 per annum to the perma recurring expenditure of the Court.

By Raghavendra 26/7

For Comptroller.

CV/26-7

Genl Secy

cag 30/7

8) No provision is available in the budget for this. The matter came up for consideration at the time of the Budget but was dropped for want of time, as the proposal involved a doubt whether the required amount can be found from savings under allotments. A small addition establishment was sanctioned by Govt during the year (Com Annie from Pro secy) the question of raising the cost to the 1st class may perhaps be deferred.

Ker

1st Member

cag 31.7

8/8 2/8

9) The Chief Court may be consulted whether cases from which the appeals may be made.

Ker

6/8

1885 cag 8/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

4755/P 565/P 7(1)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 265 of 1922

Serial No.

22) Send with a draft

8/8 21) Issue Cap 14/8

8.8.25 D. 11/8.

2

The Registrar. Ad Court

No. P. 1125 of 17.8.25

Reports that the Income & Court

fee Extra Cost - of Rs 5/- may

be intimated.

draft

From

The Registrar Ernakulam

Letter no Roc 793 of 20.8.25

, States that the cost may be met of Rs 25000/ provided in the budget for New Civil Courts and additional Establishment for existing Courts

22) A sum of Rs 5000/- has been provided for 'Roving Courts'. As it is already 2 months and it may take about a month more to establish one, the amount required can be spared out of this head for orders

By hand 25) Reference is also made

24/8/25 to the Chief Secy's remark. Savings from the grant of Rs 25000/- are intended for the reorganisation of the Sub-Courts & Munsiffs & this is perhaps the Chief Secy's reason. It depends upon the time

Serial No.

adopted for the allocation & till this is done it may not be definitely said, whether an amount is available.

Genl Secy

1st Member)

Cag 1-C 22/8 22/8

Cag 22/8

1512 28/8.

2d) may be sanctioned ~~to~~ under 2a Recurring Rs 25, also provided in the budget.

Fe 3/9 Cag 2/9

25) Draft Cag 4/9

26) Send with a draft. It seems it is not necessary to consult the Financial Secretariat again. The money is for recurring. But as the charge is likely to be recurring, the point may be considered.

Draft for consultation

Genl Secy

Financial Secy Cag 4/9/

to Consultant

Fl 454/23

Fwd by with Compts) Fe 5/9 Cag 5/9

Please let me know the particulars demands for which the lump sum provision is included in Budget

Mulg 9.2.23

G. R. S. III-2]

4755
865

General and Revenue Secretariat. | GS File No. 265 of 19 22

Serial No. GRD.

The provision of Rs 25,000/- made under 18-3 District and Civil Courts in the current year budget is designed to meet

(1) Revising Court : Rs 5000/-

(2) Additional Civil Courts and additional establishment to existing courts Rs 2,00,00/-

Savings out of this provision has to be utilised to meet the cost of the Reorganisation of the judicial service as

Fl 454/23

(Sd) 17/9/23 (Sd) Illegible

Secy)

17/9/23

It may be stated if No part of the Grant of Rs 25,000/- is kept for meeting the additional charge due to the revision of pay of Munsiffs and Sub Judges

26-9-23

Submitted for orders. The order relating to the revision of pay of the Sub-Judges and Munsiffs, expressly says that the cost for the current year should be met out of the above provision

27/9/23

865

10

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 265 of 1922

Serial No.

How much exactly is wanted for the purpose, this not possible today for this Branch. Perhaps the Confidential Boxes may be in a position to give the figure when this Branch was asked as to the amount which can be spared from the allotment, it was pointed out that nearly 14 000/- Rs may be available for Reorganisation of the Judicial Dept

Put before with compliments.

Sd

Leg Secy

29/9

cag 27.9

If the amt can be found out of the provision of Rs 25000 the proposal may be sd. mull 6.10.23

Subd for orders — Double G.O. published may be sanctioned, as the amount is available. Reorganisation on the lines proposed leaves a balance out of Rs 25000/-

Leg Secy

may be approved, cag

Sd

12/10

First member

Appd

31/10

cag 17/10

9 Sheets cag 3/11/23

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT.
7(615)23
28. SEP. 1923

2944
13-10-23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

865-P 1

CG File No. 65 of 1922

Serial No.	
	Vide Fl Secty's opinion recorded on the previous
Fl 813/23.	Draft & Fair copies are put up
	Secy 8.11.23
	25/11/23
	To Comptroller
	No Fe 4851 & 26/11/23
	Sir,
	I am directed to forward herewith Financial S.O. No. P. 2379-80 & 5.11.23 sanctioning the revised scale of establishment for the Municipal Commissioner working an additional expenditure of Rs 1675/-
	B

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to——————

Section C&L

File No. Ref 202

Serial No

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

No. 15701

Date of issue 15/1/23

Signed by M. Jag

To

The Registrar Chief Court

Sir

I am directed to invite reference to para 7 on page 17 of the Tour Diary notes of the Dewan in Kolar, Tumkur and Chitaldrug Dts in August 1922. re additional staff ~~clerical~~ assistance to the Kolar munsiff's Court and to request you to kindly favour Govt with ~~and forward~~ the opinion of the Judges of the Chief Court in the matter.

I have etc

Sd/-

Offg Registrar

13/1/23

Approved

13/1

Approved

34113

4755 43

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

Bangalore, dated 17th March 1923

793 to 3
22-23

12

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

20 MAR 1923

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq.,
Secretary to Government,
General Department.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.P.5701/Cts. dated 15th January 1923 inviting reference to -- paragraph 7 on page 17 of the Tour Inspection Notes of the Dewan in the Kolar, Tumkur and Chitaldrug Districts during August 1922 and requesting to be furnished with the opinions of the Judges of the Chief Court regarding the additional staff required for the Kolar Munsiff's Court.

2. In reply, I am to state that the delay in the disposal of work in that Court due to its being inadequately staffed and the resulting inconvenience to litigants, had already come under the notice of the Chief Court in June 1922. But though the number of institutions which was on an average 1002 Original suits and 927 Small Causes per year was on a par, with other 1st class Courts and amply justified its being raised to 1st class, yet in view of the then existing financial stringency, the Chief Court contented itself by recommending as a temporary measure of relief, the transfer of one 1st grade Amin and one 2nd grade Process Server from the Dodballapur Munsiff's Court to that of Kolar. (Vide Registrar's letter No. R. O. C. 96 of 1922-23 dated 28th October 1922).

3. At the same time, as already explained in para previous letter referred to above, it is considered th the Kolar Munsiff's Court is raised to the 1st class number of existing clerks increased it will not be po cope with the increased work, and avoid delay and conge and this step will necessitate a corresponding increase pay of the Head Munshi, the Process and Court Narzirs, and permanent addition of 2 clerks, one Amin and 3 Process Servers involving a recurring expenditure of Rs.1,575/- per annum.

4. I am to request that Government may be pleased to accord sanction, to the increase in establishment now proposed and to the Court being raised to the 1st class, with effect from 1st July 1923, necessary provision therefor being ordered to be made in the Budget estimate for 1923-24. Proposition statements in duplicate, showing the additional hands and pay required to raise the Court to the 1st class, and a statement showing the number of institutions for the past 5 years are enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR. 17/3/23

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Finl. 200]

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Ch

STATEMENT OF PP

Name of Office

No.	Date	Nature of Charge									
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale			
		No.	Designation	Pay			Average Cost	No.	Designation	Pay	
				Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum				Minimum	Rate of Increase
											Office
		1	Head Munshi	-	-	45	45	1	Head Munshi	-	- 55
		1	Dy. -do-	-	-	40	40	1	Dy. -do-	-	- 40
		1	Court Nazir	30	1	35	33¾	1	Court Nazir	-	- 35
		2	Clerks on Rs.30/-	-	-	30	60	4	Clerks on Rs. 30/-	-	- 30
		5	-do- on Rs. 25/-	-	-	25	125	5	-do- on Rs. 25/-	-	- 2
		1	Daffedar.	-	-	11	11	1	Daffedar	-	- 11
		1	Goller	-	-	10	10	1	Goller	-	- 10
		1	Dalayet	-	-	10	10	1	Dalayet	-	- 10
		1	Sweeper.	-	-	9	9	1	Sweeper	-	- 9
		14	-	-	-	-	343¾	16	-	-	- -
											Proce
		1	Process-Nazir.	-	-	35	35	1	Process - Nazir.	-	- 40
		2	I Grade Amins.	-		23	46	2	I Grade Amins.	-	- 23
		4	II -do-	-		20	80	5	II -do-	-	- 20
		4	I Grade servers	-		13	52	5	I Grade Servers.	-	- 13
		13	II -do-	-		11	143	15	II -do-	-	- 11
		24	-	-	-	-	356	28	-	-	-
Grand Total		38	-	-	-	-	699¾	44	-	-	-

present Scale Examined and for

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and s

Chief Court
Ba
15-10 Rua

showing the institution and disposal of Civil Cases in the Court of the Munsiff at Kolar.

	Year.	O. S.				S. C.				Remarks.
		Filed.	For Disposal	Disposed of.	Pending.	Filed.	For Disposal	Disposed of.	Pending.	
1.	1917-18	751	1151	815	336	621	808	730	78	
2.	1918-19	974	1350	981	369	754	848	740	108	
3.	1919-20	1185	1600	1122	478	872	996	826	170	
4.	1920-21	1263	1815	1256	559	1015	1208	1016	192	
5.	1921-22	745	1395	861	534	1326	1563	1316	247	

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore,
15th March 1923.

Theeruvakkapoolam
17/3/23
REGISTRAR.

Tkv.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

18

CT

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section
File No. 265-22
Serial No 3

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *191*

No. 51123

Date of issue 17/8/23

Signed by W. M. Cleg.

To The Regr. Chief Court

Sir

With reference to your letter no. Ref 793 dt 17.3-1923 re the additional staff for the Municipal Court Kota, I am directed to request you to kindly intimate the source from which the extra cost of Rs 1575/- per annum required for the posts may be met

I have etc

over.

Chief

1648 2v9 Cells

8/

8-8-23

D 865

7937

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 18th August 1923.

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

Rec No. 793 22-23

FROM

SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.,A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

21 AUG 1923

To

V. Anantharaman, Esq., B. A.,
~~General~~ Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department;
Bangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. P 1123/ Cts. dated the 17th August 1923, enquiring the source from which the extra cost of Rs.1575/- per annum required for the increase of establishment of the Munsiff's Court, Kolar, which is proposed to be raised to the 1st class, may be met, and to state in reply that the same may be met out of the lump sum grant of Rs.25000/- provided in the current year's Budget, under "8Courts of Law, 3 District and Civil Courts- for new civil courts and additional establishment to existing courts."

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sreenivasaraghavachari
20/8/23
Registrar.

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

y No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Date of issue..... 5/11/23

Signed by..... M V C P S

May this be

~~i Printed ?~~

~~ii treated as a standing order ?~~

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section C5

File No. Rev 52

Serial No. 54

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

The two ~~memorials~~ notes of the Dewan ~~to Kolar Dussehra and Lemutations dtd~~ for August 1922 among others making observing that there is a heavy pendency in the munsiff's court at Kolar

2. Letter no: Rev 793/22-3 dt 17-3-1923 from the Rep^r Chief Court stating that the heavy pendency is due to ~~its being~~ inadequate the Court Order Thereon No. Staff VI and forwarding a proposition statement in duplicate for creating and reversing the scale of the establishment for the Court, involving an additional expenditure of Rs 1575/- per annum.

21

ref no Rec 799/22.3d
20-8-1923 from the same
Dist stating that the extra
cost may be met out of the
provision made for Civil
Courts and additional establishment
~~for~~ existing Civil Courts in 18-3-
District and Civil Courts in the
Current year's budget.

order P 2379-80

The revised Scale of establishment
for the Municipal Court at Kolar,
involving an additional expenditure
of Rs 1575/- per annum as
proposed in the accompanying
proposition statement is
sanctioned, the cost being
met from the provision printed
against above. ~~during the current and each year.~~
for the current year. Provision ~~has~~ to
~~year~~ should be made for this in future budgets.

List of enclosures.

8/11, 12/10.

To
The Regr Chief Court under ack
accompanied the P.C. note with the proposition statement

Copy to Govt section.

Copied by

Examined by

4/9/23

WD 1888—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-